

Seminar Syllabus

Seminar Description:

EDS 249. Special Seminar: School Psychology. Individual projects or directed reading. **Note:** Departmental petition required. Graded Credit/No Credit. 1-3 units.

Seminar Objectives:

The student will...

- 1. continue to develop the ability to apply research to psycho-educational practice.
- 2. demonstrate the background knowledge necessary to the School Psychology Masters Examination.
- 3. become prepared for the School Psychology Masters Comprehensive Examination.

Attendance:

Students who miss any of the class meetings will not be eligible for the Masters exam.

NASP 2010 Standards addressed in this class include:

- Standard 2: Data-Based Decision Making and Accountability.
- Standard 3: Consultation and Collaboration
- Standard 4.1: Interventions and Instructional Support to Develop Academic Skills.
- Standard 4.2: Interventions and Mental Health Services to Develop Social and Life Skills.
- Standard 5.2: Preventive and Responsive Services
- Standard 7: Diversity
- Standard 8.2: Legal, ethical, and professional practice

CCTC standards addressed in this class:

- Standard 2: Growth and Development
- Standard 4: Assessment
- Standard 5: Comprehensive Prevention and Early Intervention for Achievement
- Standard 6: Professional Ethics and Legal Mandates
- Standard 8: Self-esteem and Personal and Social Responsibility
- Standard 10: Consultation
- Standard 11: Learning Theory and Educational Psychology
- Standard 17: Psychological Foundations
- Standard 19: Legal, Ethical and Professional Foundations
- Standard 20: Collaborative Consultation
- Standard 21: Wellness Promotion, Crisis Intervention, and Counseling
- Standard 22: Individual Evaluation and Assessment

Special Notes:

- 1. Consistent with the National Association of School Psychologist's *Model for Comprehensive and Integrated School Psychological Services*, specific student outcomes achieved during this course that are consistent with CSUS School Psychology Program Learning Outcomes. Students will:
 - continually develop professional skills through reflective practice, critical thinking, and attention to current research;
 - be knowledgeable of effective instructional practices and use this knowledge in fostering student cognitive/academic development;
 - conceptualize student needs from a developmental and ecological perspective;

EDS 249; Spring 2019 Course Title: School Psychology Special Seminar Day/Time: Selected Wednesdays, 4:00 to 6:50 PM Room: EUR 415

- work within a multi-tiered system of support; and be prepared to provide a broad range of prevention, early intervention, and longer term school psychological services so as to better ensure the positive mental, behavioral, physical health and academic development of children and adolescents;
- utilize a wide range of methods in assessing the needs of school aged youth;
- be able to design appropriate interventions, and evaluate the effectiveness of those interventions;
- be prepared to implement problem-solving approaches that address the needs of school aged youth either within the school setting or by making appropriate referrals to community based services;
- develop an understanding of the specialized needs of diverse student populations, including issues of culture, unique learning needs, and diverse lifestyles and orientations;
- engage in practices that are consistent with ethical and legal standards of the profession, including demonstrating a solid understanding of special education regulations.
- 2. Computer/Smart phone use is not allowed (emailing, web surfing, texting) unless it is a directed part of a classroom activity. If you need to use your computer for note taking during class please check with the instructor prior to doing so for this class (you will be asked to turn off Wi-Fi). If you need to have your smart phone on to receive important work or family communications please place it on vibrate and step out of the classroom to respond to a text or take a phone call.
- 3. Assigned grades will be consistent with CSUS grading policy as described in the CSUS Catalog.
- 4. All assignments are due on the dates indicated on the course outline. A minimum of one full grade deduction will be applied to all late assignments.
- 5. If you have a disability and require accommodations, you need to provide disability documentation to SSWD, Lassen Hall 1008, (916) 278-6955. Please discuss your accommodation needs with the instructor after class or during office hours early in the semester.
- 6. Basic Needs Support. If you are experiencing challenges in the area of food and/or stable housing, help is just a click, email or phone call away! Sacramento State offers basic needs support for students who are experiencing challenges in these areas. Please visit our Basic Needs website to learn more about your options and resources available. <u>https://www.csus.edu/basicneeds/</u>
- 7. Academic Honesty Policy: Go to <u>http://www.csus.edu/admbus/umanual/UMA00150.htm</u> for the CSUS Academic Honesty Policy and Procedures. Per University Policy all students are responsible for:
 - a) Understanding the rules that preserve academic honesty and abiding by them at all times. This includes learning and following the particular rules associated with specific classes, exams, and course assignments. Ignorance of these rules is not a defense to a charge of academic dishonesty.
 - b) Understanding what cheating and plagiarism are and taking steps to avoid them. Students are expected to do this whether working individually or as part of a group.
 - c) Not taking credit for academic work that is not their own.
 - d) Not knowingly encouraging or making possible cheating or plagiarism by others.

Please refer to <u>http://library.csus.edu/content2.asp?pageID=353</u> for a student tutorial on how to avoid plagiarism.

Required Readings:

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.).
 Washington, DC: Author. (Read "309.81 Posttraumatic Stress Disorder" and "Acute Stress Disorder," pp. 271-286. Available in the Dr. Brock's office.)
- DiGangi, J. A., Gomez, D., Mendoza, L., Jason, L. A., Keys, C. B., & Koenen, K. C. (2013). Pretrauma risk factors for posttraumatic stress disorder: A systematic review of the literature. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *33*, 728–744. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2013.05.002
- Gutermann, J., Schreiber, F., Matulis, S., Schwartzkopff, L., Deppe, J., & Steil, R. (2016). Psychological treatments for symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder in children, adolescents, and young adults: A

meta-analysis. Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review, 19, 77–93. doi: 10.1007/s10567-016-0202-5

- Nickerson, A. B., Reeves, M. A., Brock, S. E., Jimerson, S. R. (2009). *Identifying, assessing, and treating PTSD at school.* New York: Springer. (Available for \$74.99 eBook, \$99.00 Hardcover at http://www.springer.com/us/book/9780387799155)
- Tedeschi, F. K., & Billick, S. B. (2017). Pediatric PTSD: Clinical, forensic, and diagnostic understanding. Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law, 45, 161–169. Retrieved from http://proxy.lib.csus.edu/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN= 2017-36853-003

Optional Readings:

- American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Dehon, C., & Scheeringa, M. S. (2006). Screening for preschool posttraumatic stress disorder with the child behavior checklist. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *31*, 431-435.
- Dyregrov, A., & Yule, W. (2006). A review of PTSD in children. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 11, 176-184.
- Feeny, N. C., Foa, E. B., Treadwell, K. R. H., & March, J. (2004). Posttraumatic stress disorder in youth: A critical review of the cognitive and behavioral treatment outcome literature. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 35, 466-476.
- Kruczek, T., & Salsman, J. (2006). Prevention and treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder in the school setting. *Psychology in the Schools, 43,* 461-470.
- Margolin, G., & Vickerman, K. A. (2007). Posttraumatic stress in children and adolescents exposed to family violence: Overview and issues. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 38*, 613-619.
- Vickerman, K. A., & Margolin, G. (2007). Posttraumatic stress in children and adolescents exposed to family violence: Treatment. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 38*, 620-628.

Seminar Assumptions:

It is assumed that passing the School Psychology Praxis examination documents attainment of the breadth of knowledge consistent with the awarding of the Masters degree. The Masters Examination, as a result, will focus on the ability to apply such knowledge in a school setting. This course is designed to ensure that students taking the Examination have the specific background knowledge necessary to being able to bridge the gap between research and practice.

Seminar Requirements (Grading: Credit/No Credit). Students are considered prepared for the Comprehensive Exam if they earn 100% of the total possible points outlined below:

- 1. *Attendance/Seminar participation.* Attendance, and participation in seminar discussions, yields a maximum of **50 points** (25 points for each lecture and discussion seminar meeting).
- 2. *Masters Examination Pre-Test.* From lectures and assigned reading a pre-test will be offered to assess the background knowledge important to successful completion of this semester's Masters Examination. This test will not be graded. Completion of the pre-test yields **50 points**.

EDS 249; Spring 2019 Course Title: School Psychology Special Seminar Day/Time: Selected Wednesdays, 4:00 to 6:50 PM Room: EUR 415

Seminar Meetings/Outline

Date	Торіс	Assignments (To be competed by class date)
January	• Lecture & Discussion:	Review syllabus
23		Obtain required readings
March 13	• Lecture & Discussion:	• Complete all required readings (Brock et al., 2009; APA, 2014; APA 2010)
April 3	Maters Examination Pre-test	• Review lecture notes and required (and optional) readings
April	Masters Examination.	Location TBD
29	• 9:00am to 3:00pm	• Review pre-test results and fill in any
		background knowledge gaps

EDS 249; Spring 2019

Course Title: School Psychology Special Seminar Day/Time: Selected Wednesdays, 4:00 to 6:50 PM Room: EUR 415

SPRING 2016 MASTERS EXAMINATION OUTLINE

Theory to Practice

Sam is a 9-year-old, 3rd grade student, with a history of behavior and subject learning difficulties going back to his preschool years. You have just finished your psycho-educational evaluation of Sam. Your task is now to makes sense of evaluation data so as to be able to give the IEP team meaningful guidance. The following is a summary of the data you have collected. Carefully consider these data so as to be able to answer the six (6) questions that follow.

NAME:	SAM SMITH	ASSESSMENT DATE:	MARCH 15, 2016
AGE	9-years, 1-month	BIRTH DATE:	February 12, 2007
GRADE:	3		

Reason for Referral

Sam is a 9-year-old, third grade boy who was referred by his mother to help determine the etiology of basic reading skill development challenges and to assist in educational program planning.

Background Information

Sam is a 9-year, 1-month-old, 3rd-grade-boy, who currently attends ABC School in Center, CA. He lives with his biological mother, Suzie Smith. Sam is an only child. The primary language of the home is English. Reading fluency was reported by Ms. Smith to be the primary learning weakness. There is no family history of learning disabilities, however, Sam's father is reported to have an ADHD. The current home environment appears to be one that highly values reading and is optimally supportive of Sam's reading skill development....

Test Taking Behavior

Sam readily accompanied the examiner to the testing room and rapport appeared to be adequate. ...

Assessment Data

Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children – Fifth Edition (WISC-V) Full Scale IQ = 129

Wechsler Individual Achievement Test – Third Edition (WIAT-3)

Gray Oral Reading Test – Fifth Edition (GORT-5)

Reading Rate standard score = 9; Reading Accuracy score of = 10; Reading Comprehension Score = 10

Subtest	Raw Score	%ile Rank	Standard Score	Composite	%ile Rank	Standard Score
Elision				Phonological Awareness		
Blending Words				Phonological Memory		
Phoneme Isolation				Rapid Naming		
Memory for Digits						
Nonword Repetition*						
Rapid Digit Naming						
Rapid Letter naming						

Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing – Second Edition (CTOPP-2)

Course Title: School Psychology Special Seminar Day/Time: Selected Wednesdays, 4:00 to 6:50 PM Room: EUR 415

Test of Word Reading Efficiency – Second Edition, Form A (TOWRE-2, Form A)

Subtest	Raw Score	Age Equivalent	Grade Equivalent	Percentile Rank	Standard Score
Sight Word Efficiency					
Phonemic Decoding Efficiency					

Gray Silent Reading Test (GSRT)

Process Assessment of the Learner- Second Edition: Diagnostics for Reading and Writing (PAL-II Reading & Writing)

Content	Raw	Decile	
Subtest	Score	Score	Classification
Phonological Processing			
Syllables			
Phonemes			
Rimes			
Orthographic Processing			
Receptive Coding (short term memory)			
Word Choice (long term memory)			
Rapid Automatic Naming			
Letters			
Words			
Digits			
Words & Digits			
Phonological Decoding			
Pseudoword Decoding			

Student Interview

Sam was unable (or unwilling) to discuss his father or his early history of exposure to domestic violence, and complained of a stomach ache soon after having been asked these questions. Sam did acknowledge that he has difficulty sleeping at night (due to nightmares), and that he has problems keeping friends (due to his impulsive and aggressive play).

1. From the available data what do you judge to be Sam's primary learning challenges? (NOTE: there are 3 primary challenges, don't worry about presenting them in any particular order. The same data may support the existence more than one of these learning challenges.)

Challenge 1:	
Data supporting this observation:	

Challenge 2: _____ Data supporting this observation:

Challenge 3:	
Data supporting this observation:	

2. What specific psycho-educational report recommendations do you have for each of Sam's learning challenges?

Challenge 1: _____ Recommendations: Instructor: Stephen E. Brock, PhD Office Hours: Wednesdays, 10:00 AM to 1:00 PM Phone: 916-278-5919 E-mail: brock@csus.edu

Challenge 2: _____ Recommendations:

Challenge 3: _____ Recommendations:

- 3. What is you recommendation regarding Sam's eligibility for special education and/or Section 504 services? Recommendation:
- 4. Assume that the IEP team feels Sam is eligible for special education services (and that you agree with such a conclusion). Given the learning challenges you have listed, what eligibility category(ies) would you recommend the team consider for Sam?
- 5. Sam's mother is wondering about her son's prognosis. Given the challenges you have identified, what would you tell them? In your response to this question, be sure to provide a response that is parent friendly (i.e., one that a parent without a psychological background would readily understand).
- 6. Is there any significant data missing from the data set listed above that you feel should have been collected before the IEP meeting?

NOTES:

- All responses must be consistent with the style of writing delineated within the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*. In preparing for the Examination special attention should be given to the *Manual's* Chapters 3 "Writing Clearly and Concisely" and 4 "The Mechanics of Style."
- Students are reminded that the goal of this examination is to demonstrate the ability to bridge the gap between research and practice.
- The exam will take place in a University Computer Lab and you will be required to print out a hard copy of your exam for grading purposes. In these labs printing is charged at \$0.05 per page, and a CSUS OneCard is needed to operate the printer. If you do not possess a OneCard, it will be necessary for you to purchase a Courtesy OneCard. For additional information, visit http://www.csus.edu/onecard/guestcard.htm
- School psychology faculty will proctor the Examination. As soon as it is completed, a team of faculty members will begin to read and score each response. A minimum of three faculty members will read each response. Experience with this process has suggested that Examination results can be made available to students within one week following the Examination.